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**Background**

The most recent assessments of the conservation status of shorebirds that occur regularly in the USA were conducted by the U.S. Shorebird Conservation Plan (USSCP) partners in 2004 and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as part of the 2008 Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC). To contribute to the revision of the Birds of Conservation Concern and recent update of the “Watch List” (Rosenberg *et al.* 2014), USSCP partners undertook a re-assessment of the conservation status of shorebirds occurring regularly in the USA. The current assessment incorporates: 1) new information on shorebird population sizes and trends, 2) a GIS computation of breeding and nonbreeding range sizes, 3) a revised threats assessment, and 4) climate change vulnerability. A major change from past conservation assessments is the adoption of the Partners in Flight (PIF) assessment scoring criteria to evaluate shorebird populations. The PIF process was adopted to create a more unified approach to conservation assessment of landbirds, shorebirds, and waterbirds.

**Assessment Criteria**

Elements and criteria developed by Partners in Flight (Panjabi *et al*. 2012, Rosenberg *et al*. 2016) were used to assess shorebird populations. Although the five main assessment elements remain the same as those used in previous shorebird assessments, the scoring criteria differ. Scores (from 1 to 5) and their criteria are presented below for each assessment element. Shorebird populations were assessed and scored at three levels: 1) entire, global populations; 2) populations occurring (generally breeding) in Canada and the USA; and 3) specific, recognizable subspecies or populations occurring in the USA or Canada. Species level taxonomy follows the American Ornithologists’ Union Checklist of North American Birds (1998) and supplements through the 56th in 2015. Designation of shorebird subspecies and populations follow the recommendations of Brown *et al*. (2000) and those reported in Andres *et al.* (2012). A species, subspecies, or population is referred to as a taxon or taxa.

Population Size (PS)

Andres *et al*. (2012) was used as the primary source of data for scoring USA/Canada shorebird population sizes, although some newer information was consulted. Global populations were scored based on data compiled by Wetlands International (2012). When minimums and maximums were reported, the midpoint was used to score the population. Population sizes were assessed and scored at the global, USA/Canada (occasionally including the Caribbean, Russia, and Mexico), and subspecies/population levels.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Score | Criterion |
| 1 | ≥50,000,000 individuals |
| 2 | <50,000,000 and ≥5,000,000 individuals |
| 3 | <5,000,000 and ≥500,000 individuals |
| 4 | <500,000 and ≥50,000 individuals |
| 5 | <50,000 individuals |

Breeding Distribution (BD) and Nonbreeding Distribution (ND)

Breeding and non-breeding distribution range sizes were calculated from BirdLife International and NatureServe maps (2012) in AcrMap® 10.1 using the Eckert IV projection. Two-dimensional polygons were used for all ranges. Range sizes were assessed and scored at the global, USA/Canada, and subspecies/population levels. The maximum value of either DB or ND (Dmax) is used for decisions on assigning conservation concern categories.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Score | Criterion |
| 1 | ≥4,000,000 km2 |
| 2 | ≥1,000,000 and <4,000,000 km2 |
| 3 | ≥300,000 and <1,000,000 km2 |
| 4 | ≥80,000 and <300,000 km2 |
| 5 | <80,000 km2 |

Breeding Threats (TB) and Nonbreeding Threats (TN)

The complete set of threats identified by Salafsky *et al*. (2008) was narrowed and combined for relevancy to shorebirds and scored based on their combined severity and scope within shorebird breeding and nonbreeding (including migration) ranges. Threats were assessed and scored only at the USA/Canada and subspecies/population levels. The maximum value of either TB or TN (Tmax) is used for decisions on assigning conservation concern categories.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Score | Criterion |
| 1 | will not impair the population in the future |
| 2 | will slightly to moderately degrade the population but are localized in scope |
| 3 | will seriously degrade or eliminate the population over some portion of its range |
| 4 | will moderately degrade the population over most of its range |
| 5 | will seriously degrade or eliminate the population over much of its range |

Population Trend (PT)

The long-term (30-year) qualitative or quantitative population trend generally reported in Andres *et al.* (2012) was used in this assessment. Reliable, quantitative information on trends is lacking for many shorebirds, and Breeding Bird Survey, on which the Partners in Flight assessment relies on for many species, provides information for only a few shorebird species. Accordingly, a more qualitative set of criteria was used to score population trend. Trends were assessed and scored at the USA/Canada and subspecies/population levels.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Score | Criterion |
| 1 | substantial increase |
| 2 | small increase or increase suspected |
| 3 | stable or unknown |
| 4 | moderate decrease or decrease suspected |
| 5 | substantial decrease |

Climate Change Vulnerability

Galbraith *et al.* (2014) used six climate change related factors to evaluate the vulnerability of USA/Canada shorebirds: 1) changes in breeding habitat, 2) changes in migration habitat, 3) changes in wintering habitat, 4) dependence on ecological synchronicities, 5) migration distance, and 6) habitat specialization. Numerical scores were extracted from Galbraith *et al.* (2014) and expanded to cover all taxa in this assessment. Total scores in their system ranged from -14 (major habitat gains) to 30 (major effects on all factors).

**Conservation Categories**

The following combinations of assessment elements, based primarily on Panjabi *et al*. (2012) and revised for the update of the Partners in Flight Landbird Conservation Plan (Rosenburg *et al*. 2016) were used to assign shorebird taxa to categories of conservation concern. A shorebird is included on the overall Watch List 2016 if:

MxS ≥ 14 or MxS = 13 and PT = 5, where

MxS is the maximum score of either CCS(B) or CCS(N),

CCS(B) is Combined Continental Score (Breeding) = PS + BD + TB + PT, and

CCS(N) is Combined Continental Score (Nonbreeding) = PS + ND + TN + PT.

For a species with a broad global distribution, the USA/Canada population is included if it met the criteria above. If only a single subspecies or population met the criteria above, it is designated as a “taxa below species” on the Watch List 2016 and is included here. Within the Watch List 2016, species were grouped into Red and Yellow Lists. Criteria for the Red List follow the definition below, and the Yellow List was divided into two groups: one of taxa with steep declines and elevated threats, and the other of taxa with small populations and ranges. The revised U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service’s Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC) includes shorebird taxa on the Red and Yellow Watch List at either the National (species or North American population) or Regional levels (subspecies or distinct populations). Herein, North America refers to Canada and the USA. Taxa listed under the U. S. Endangered Species Act are not included on the BBC list or are sport-hunted species (e.g. American Woodcock); ESA-listed shorebird taxa are presented separately. Criteria for levels of conservation concern follow.

ESA-listed

A shorebird taxon that is listed under the ESA. Recovery plans are, or are being, developed and actions are being implemented. Includes one species (Eskimo Curlew) that is likely extinct.

Greatest Concern

A shorebird taxon that meets the following Watch List 2016 criteria for the Red List:

MxS ≥ 17, or

MxS = 16 and (PT + Tmax) ≥ 9.

High Concern

A shorebird taxon that meets the following Watch List 2016 criteria for the Yellow List:

MxS = 16 and (PT + Tmax) < 9, or

MxS = 14 or 15, or

MxS = 13 and PT = 5.

The Yellow Watch List is further divided in two groups.

1) Steep declines and elevated threats, which meet the following:

(Tmax + PT) > (PS + Dmax), or

(Tmax + PT) = (PS + Dmax) and PT = 5.

2) Small populations and ranges, which meet the following criteria:

(Tmax + PT) < (PS + Dmax), or

(Tmax + PT) = (PS + Dmax) and PT < 5.

Moderate Concern

Taxa not meeting the Watch List 2016 criteria but had a climate change vulnerability score of >20 from Galbraith *et al*. (2014) were considered to be of moderate conservation concern. Taxa not meeting the Watch List 2016 but had substantial population declines (PT = 5) were also considered of moderate conservation concern.

Least Concern

A shorebird taxon that is not listed under the ESA, does not meet the criteria for Watch List 2016, or is of moderate conservation concern.

**Assessment Results**

Seventy-four shorebird taxa occurring regularly in the USA were evaluated; three North American shorebird taxa that breed in the northeastern Canadian Arctic and winter in Europe were excluded: Common Ringed Plover (*Charadrius hiaticula psammodroma* [Canada breeding], Ruddy Turnstone (*Arenaria interpres interpres* [Canada breeding], and Red Knot (*Calidris canutus islandica* [Canada breeding]). To present the most parsimonious list of shorebirds of conservation concern, some taxa within a species were pooled by similar scores at the major concern level (e.g., High Conservation Concern), but differences among subspecies or populations on their Yellow List criteria are indicated in Appendix 1. As a result, conservation concern levels were generated for 57 shorebird species, subspecies, or populations. Of these, seven taxa of five species are listed under the ESA and 18 global species and four USA/Canada populations met Watch List 2016 criteria *(islandica* Red Knot is considered a Species At Risk in Canada). At the species or North American population level, the list of 24 Watch List 2016 shorebirds is a slight 8% increase over the 22 shorebirds listed on the 2007 Watch List (Butcher *et al.* 2007). An additional four taxa below the species or USA/Canada population level also met Watch List 2016 criteria.

In addition to taxa listed under the ESA, seven taxa are considered of the Greatest Conservation Concern (Red List), and 19 taxa are considered of High Concern (Yellow List) because of either steep declines and elevated threats or small populations and ranges. These Yellow List categories can be useful for developing actions for shorebirds of High Concern. Excluding the sport-hunted American Woodcock, 20 shorebird taxa are considered as a Bird of Conservation Concern at the National level, and five shorebird taxa met regional criteria; 21 shorebird taxa were included in last BCC (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2008). Ten taxa of Moderate Conservation Concern included those with elevated vulnerability to effects of climate change (8 taxa) or were common shorebirds in decline (2 taxa). Only 16 shorebird taxa (28% of 57 taxa) are considered of Least Conservation Concern.

Twenty-nine taxa were considered as either “Highly Imperiled” or of “High Concern” in the last conservation assessment by the U.S. Shorebird Conservation Plan partners in 2004, and 31 taxa are ESA-listed, of Greatest Conservation Concern, or of High Conservation Concern in 2016. In general, the conservation landscape has not improved much for shorebirds during the last decade.

Below are listed all assessed shorebird taxa by level of conservation concern. Scientific names and details are provided in Appendix 1. The Birds of Conservation Concern taxa (Greatest and High Conservation Concern) are listed at the National level unless indicated as Regional (R). The American Woodcock is not included on the BCC list.

Shorebirds of Conservation Concern – 2016 List

*ESA-listed*

Black-necked Stilt (*knudseni*)

Snowy Plover (*nivosus* [Pacific Coast])

Piping Plover

Eskimo Curlew

Red Knot (*rufa*)

*Greatest Concern* (BCC)

Snowy Plover (*nivosus* [Interior/Gulf Coast] and *tenuirostris*)

Wilson’s Plover (North America breeding *wilsonia*)

Mountain Plover

American Oystercatcher (North America breeding *palliatus*)

Bristle-thighed Curlew

Bar-tailed Godwit (*baueri*) R

Red Knot (*roselaari*)

*High Concern* (BCC)

American Golden-Plover

Pacific Golden-Plover (Alaska breeding population) R

Black Oystercatcher

Willet

Lesser Yellowlegs

Whimbrel (Alaska and Canada breeding population)

Long-billed Curlew

Hudsonian Godwit

Marbled Godwit

Ruddy Turnstone (*morinella*) R

Black Turnstone

Dunlin (*arcticola* and *hudsonia*) R

Rock Sandpiper (*ptilocnemis*) R

Purple Sandpiper (Canada breeding *maritima* and *belcheri*)

Buff-breasted Sandpiper

Pectoral Sandpiper

Semipalmated Sandpiper

Short-billed Dowitcher

(American Woodcock)

*Moderate Concern*

American Avocet

Black-bellied Plover (Alaska breeding *squatarola* and *cynosurae*)

Killdeer

Ruddy Turnstone (Alaska breeding *interpres*)

Surfbird

Sanderling (Western Hemisphere population)

Dunlin (*pacifica*)

Western Sandpiper

Long-billed Dowitcher

Red-necked Phalarope (Alaska and Canada breeding population)

*Least Concern*

Black-necked Stilt (North America breeding *mexicanus*)

Semipalmated Plover

Spotted Sandpiper

Solitary Sandpiper

Wandering Tattler

Greater Yellowlegs

Upland Sandpiper

Sharp-tailed Sandpiper (Alaska migrant population)

Stilt Sandpiper

Rock Sandpiper (*cousei* and *tschuktschorum*)

Baird’s Sandpiper

Least Sandpiper

White-rumped Sandpiper

Wilson’s Snipe

Wilson’s Phalarope

Red Phalarope (Alaska and Canada breeding population)
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Appendix 1. The conservation status of shorebird species, subspecies, and populations occurring regularly in the USA – 2016. Categories for the U. S. Shorebird Conservation Plan (USSCP) include: listed under the U. S. Endangered Species Act (ESA); greatest conservation concern (GCC), the Red Watch List; high conservation concern (HCC, the Yellow Watch List) because of high threats and population declines (TD) or small populations or ranges (PR); moderate conservation concern, because of high climate change vulnerability (C) or common species in steep decline (D); and least conservation concern (LCC). The U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service’s Birds of Conservation Concern 2016 consists of the Red and Yellow Watch Lists (GCC + HCC) and applies to species and populations at the national (NAT) or regional (REG) scales. Populations are indicated as being listed under Canada’s Species At Risk Act (SARA) and Mexico’s Endangered Species Law (NOM; Norma Oficial Mexicana 059-2010).

|  |  | **USSCP** | | | | |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Common name** | **Scientific name** | **ESA** | **GCC** | **HCC** | **MCC** | **LCC** | **BCC** | **Population or subspecies** |
| Black-necked Stilt | *Himantopus mexicanus* |  |  |  |  | X |  | North America breeding *mexicanus* subspecies |
| Black-necked Stilt | *Himantopus mexicanus* | X |  |  |  |  |  | Hawaiian *knudseni* subspecies |
| American Avocet | *Recurvirostra americana* |  |  |  | C |  |  | Global |
| Black-bellied Plover | *Pluvialis squatarola* |  |  |  | C |  |  | Alaska breeding *squatarola* and *cynosurae* subspecies |
| American Golden-Plover | *Pluvialis dominica* |  |  | TD |  |  | NAT | Global |
| Pacific Golden-Plover | *Pluvialis fulva* |  |  | PR |  |  | REG | Alaska breeding population |
| Snowy Plover | *Charadrius nivosus* | X |  |  |  |  |  | Pacific Coast *nivosus* subspecies; includes Mexico breeders; NOM |
|  | X |  |  |  | NAT | Interior (USA/Mexico)/Gulf of Mexico *nivosus* subspecies (NOM); Caribbean *tenuirostris* subspecies; global species of high concern |
| Wilson’s Plover | *Charadrius wilsonia* |  | X |  |  |  | NAT | North America breeding *wilsonia* subspecies; global species of high concern |
| Semipalmated Plover | *Charadrius semipalmatus* |  |  |  |  | X |  | Global; a small number of Russia breeders |
| Piping Plover | *Charadrius melodus* | X |  |  |  |  |  | Global (*melodus*, *circumcinctus* [Great Lakes breeding], *circumcinctus* [Great Plains breeding] subspecies/populations); SARA |
| Killdeer | *Charadrius vociferous* |  |  |  | D |  |  | North America and Mexico breeding *vociferous* subspecies |
| Mountain Plover | *Charadrius montanus* |  | X |  |  |  | NAT | Global; Mexico breeders; SARA; NOM |
|  |  | **USSCP** | | | | |  |  |
| **Common name** | **Scientific name** | **ESA** | **GCC** | **HCC** | **MCC** | **LCC** | **BCC** | **Population or subspecies** |
| American Oystercatcher | *Haematopus palliatus* |  | X |  |  |  | NAT | North America breeding *palliatus* subspecies; global species of high concern |
| Black Oystercatcher | *Haematopus bachmani* |  |  | PR |  |  | NAT | Global; a small number of Mexico breeders; NOM |
| Spotted Sandpiper | *Actitis macularius* |  |  |  |  | X |  | Global |
| Solitary Sandpiper | *Tringa solitaria* |  |  |  |  | X |  | Global (*solitaria* and *cinnamomea* subspecies) |
| Wandering Tattler | *Tringa incana* |  |  |  |  | X |  | Global; a small number of Russia breeders |
| Greater Yellowlegs | *Tringa melanoleuca* |  |  |  |  | X |  | Global |
| Willet | *Tringa semipalmata* |  |  | TD |  |  | NAT | Global (*semipalmata* and *inornata* subspecies) |
| Lesser Yellowlegs | *Tringa flavipes* |  |  | TD |  |  | NAT | Global |
| Upland Sandpiper | *Batramia longicauda* |  |  |  |  | X |  | Global |
| Eskimo Curlew | *Numenius borealis* | X |  |  |  |  |  | Presumed extinct; SARA |
| Whimbrel | *Numenius phaeopus* |  |  | TD |  |  | NAT | Alaska and Canada breeding populations; declines in Canada breeding population |
| Bristle-thighed Curlew | *Numenius tahitiensis* |  | X |  |  |  | NAT | Global |
| Long-billed Curlew | *Numenius americanus* |  |  | TD |  |  | NAT | Global; SARA |
| Hudsonian Godwit | *Limosa haemastica* |  |  | PR |  |  | NAT | Global (Alaska and Canada breeding populations) |
| Bar-tailed Godwit | *Limosa lapponica* |  | X |  |  |  | REG | *baueri* subspecies |
| Marbled Godwit | *Limosa fedoa* |  |  | TD |  |  | NAT | Global (*fedoa* (Great Plains breeding subspecies, small populations of *fedoa* (James Bay breeding) and *beringiae* subspecies) |
| Ruddy Turnstone | *Arenaria interpres* |  |  |  | C |  |  | Alaska breeding *interpres* subspecies |
|  |  | TD |  |  | REG | *morinella* subspecies |
| Black Turnstone | *Arenaria melancephala* |  |  | PR |  |  | NAT | Global |
| Red Knot | *Calidris canutus* | X |  |  |  |  |  | *rufa* subspecies; SARA |
|  | X |  |  |  | NAT | *roselaari* subspecies; SARA; NOM |
| Surfbird | *Calidris virgata* |  |  |  | C |  |  | Global |
| Sharp-tailed Sandpiper | *Calidris acuminata* |  |  |  |  | X |  | Alaska migrant juvenile population |
| Sanderling | *Calidris alba* |  |  |  | C |  |  | Western Hemisphere population |
|  |  | **USSCP** | | | | |  |  |
| **Common name** | **Scientific name** | **ESA** | **GCC** | **HCC** | **MCC** | **LCC** | **BCC** | **Population or subspecies** |
| Stilt Sandpiper | *Calidris himantopus* |  |  |  |  | X |  | Global |
| Dunlin | *Calidris alpina* |  |  | TD |  |  | REG | *arcticola* subspecies; small population of  *hudsonia* subspecies |
|  |  |  | C |  |  | *pacifica* subspecies |
| Rock Sandpiper | *Calidris ptilocnemis* |  |  | PR |  |  | REG | *ptilocnemis* subspecies |
|  |  |  |  | X |  | *cousei* and *tschuktschorum* (including Russia breeders) subspecies |
| Purple Sandpiper | *Calidris maritima* |  |  | PR |  |  | NAT | Canada breeding *maritima* and *belcheri s*ubspecies (wintering in Canada and the USA) |
| Baird’s Sandpiper | *Calidris bairdii* |  |  |  |  | X |  | Global; a small number of Russia breeders |
| Least Sandpiper | *Calidris minutilla* |  |  |  |  | X |  | Global |
| White-rumped Sandpiper | *Calidris fuscicollis* |  |  |  |  | X |  | Global |
| Buff-breasted Sandpiper | *Calidris subruficollis* |  |  | TD |  |  | NAT | Global; a small number of Russia breeders |
| Pectoral Sandpiper | *Calidris melanotos* |  |  | TD |  |  | NAT | Global; includes Russia breeders |
| Semipalmated Sandpiper | *Calidris pusilla* |  |  | TD |  |  | NAT | Global (Eastern. Central, and Western populations); most concern for Eastern population |
| Western Sandpiper | *Calidris mauri* |  |  |  | C |  |  | Global; includes Russia breeders |
| Short-billed Dowitcher | *Linmodromus griseus* |  |  | TD |  |  | NAT | Global (*griseus* and *hendersoni* subspecies, small population of *caurinus* subspecies) |
| Long-billed Dowitcher | *Limnodromus scolopaceus* |  |  |  | C |  |  | Global; a small number of Russia breeders |
| Wilson’s Snipe | *Gallinago delicata* |  |  |  |  | X |  | Global |
| American Woodcock | *Scolopax minor* |  |  | TD |  |  |  | Global (Eastern and Central populations) |
| Wilson’s Phalarope | *Phalaropus tricolor* |  |  |  |  | X |  | Global |
| Red-necked Phalarope | *Phalaropus lobatus* |  |  |  | D |  |  | Alaska and Canada breeding population; declines in eastern Canada |
| Red Phalarope | *Phalaropus fulicarius* |  |  |  |  | X |  | Alaska and Canada breeding population |