Repeated count surveys help standardize multi-agency estimates of American Oystercatcher abundance



A collaborative study

October 2014

Co-authors and Collaborators

- Nathan J. Hostetter, Beth Gardner, Ken Pollock, Tracy Borneman, Ted Simons (NCSU)
- Sara Schweitzer, Matt Abraham (NCWRC)
- Ruth Boettcher (VDGIF)
- Alex Wilke (TNC-VA)
- Lindsay Addison, Walker Golder (NC Audubon)
- Randy Swilling, Britta Muiznieks (Cape Hatteras NS)
- Jon Altman, Michael Rikard (Cape Lookout NS)



Assessing AMOY Abundance

- Numerous threats to local and regional populations
- "Management Concern" USFSW
 "High Concern" US Shorebird
 Conservation plan





Assessing AMOY Abundance

Data challenges:

- Multiple agencies
- Metric of interest
- Detection probability



Objectives

 Develop a standardized multi-partner survey to estimate AMOY breeding season abundance that accounts for imperfect detection



2. Validate use of approximate count metrics that do not require nest searching

Methods – Field Surveys

• 8 agencies surveyed 96 plots in North Carolina (n = 93) and Virginia (n = 3)



Methods – Field Surveys

- 8 agencies surveyed 96 plots in North Carolina (n = 93) and Virginia (n = 3)
- Counted AMOY "pairs" and "territories"



Methods – Field Surveys

- 8 agencies surveyed 96 plots in North Carolina (n = 90) and Virginia (n = 3)
- Counted AMOY "pairs" and "territories"
- Surveys were repeated on multiple occasions to allow estimation of detection probability
 - May-July, 2013
 - -219 total surveys (~2.3 surveys per plot)

Methods - Analysis

- N-mixture models (Royle 2004; Lyons et al. 2012)
- Covariates on ABUNDANCE:
 Plot area
 State (NC vs VA)
- Covariates on DETECTION :
 - Day-of-year (quadratic)
 - Tide stage



- Plot location (barrier island vs. coastal bay)

Methods – Validation of Counts

- Intensive nest searching at 13 plots to determine number of breeding pairs (2 - 5 visits per <u>week</u>)
- Compare to estimated:
 - "Pairs"
 - "Territories"
 - (1 6 visits per <u>season</u>)



Results – Abundance



Results – Detection



Results – Detection



Results – Validation



Conclusions

- Collaboration expanded spatial coverage

 required additional pre-season planning
- Detection probability <1.0

 Highest during middle of season
- Standardize count metrics



Discussion

- Standardized repeated count surveys provide a method to address state- and range-side AMOY monitoring objectives
- Random sampling will be required to extrapolate results to the larger AMOY population



Acknowledgments

Numerous field technicians and volunteers from:

- Audubon North Carolina
- North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission
- North Carolina State University
- The Nature Conservancy of Virginia—Virginia Coast Reserve
- Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries
- National Park Service Cape Hatteras National Seashore and Cape Lookout National Seashore

Extra slides: Statistical Model

ABUNDANCE

 $N_{i} \sim Poisson(\lambda_{i})$ $\log(\lambda_{i}) = \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}S_{i} + \alpha_{2}Log(A_{i}) + \varepsilon_{i}$ $\varepsilon_{i} \sim Normal(0, \sigma_{\lambda}^{2})$

DETECTION

 $y_{i,j} \sim Binomial(N_i, p_{i,j})$ $logit(p_{i,j}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T R_{i,j} + \beta_2 T F_{i,j} + \beta_3 T L_{i,j} + \beta_4 D O Y_{i,j} + \beta_5 D O Y_{i,j}^2 + \beta_6 P L_i + \delta_{i,j}$ $\delta_{i,j} \sim Normal(0, \sigma_p^2)$

- Analyzed in a Bayesian framework using JAGS and R
- 1,000 adaptation; 20,000 burn-in; and 80,000 posterior iterations

Extra slides: Parameter Estimates

	Territories		Pairs	
	Mean	95% BCI	Mean	95% BCI
ABUNDANCE (log scale)				
α_0 (North Carolina, mean log area)	0.23	(-0.26, 0.68)	0.51	(0.08, 0.91)
log(area)	0.40	(0.05 <i>,</i> 0.75)	0.42	(0.11, 0.74)
State - Virginia	3.20	(1.75, 4.82)	3.40	(2.07, 4.77)
σ_{λ}	1.17	(0.82, 1.61)	1.07	(0.77, 1.43)
DETECTION (logit scale)				
β_0 (high tide, barrier island, mid-season)	1.72	(0.35 <i>,</i> 3.21)	1.50	(0.21, 2.88)
doy	0.08	(-0.28, 0.44)	-0.09	(-0.47, 0.25)
doy ²	-0.55	(-0.90, -0.26)	-0.46	(-0.77, -0.19)
Location - coastal bay plot	0.41	(-0.47, 1.29)	0.76	(-0.09, 1.63)
Tide - rising	-1.61	(-2.92, -0.50)	-1.88	(-3.16, -0.78)
Tide - falling	-1.21	(-2.45, -0.18)	-1.15	(-2.34, -0.09)
Tide - low	-1.12	(-2.35, -0.04)	-0.85	(-2.02, 0.26)
σ_p	1.04	(0.44, 1.72)	1.06	(0.48, 1.66)