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## Research Objectives

1. Evaluate the factors influencing AMOY nest success across a mosaic of habitats
2. Determine the extent of use of alternative breeding habitat by AMOYs in New Jersey
3. Identify the factors affecting AMOY distribution in a highly urbanized coastal ecosystem

## AMOY Breeding Habitat
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## AMOY Breeding Habitat

Oystercatcher Breeding Habitat

- Barrier Beach Saltmarsh
- Natural/Artificial Islands

Alternative Habitat


## Nest Success Study - Summary

- 2-Year Study (2005-2006)
- 3 Study Sites
- Sample Size
- 205 Nests
- 61 Broods
- Modeled Nest Survival in Response to:
- Habitat Type
- Nest Height
- Mammal Activity
- Gull Density
- Human Disturbance



## Nest Success Study - Summary

## Nest Survival Models




- DSR based on best model used to predict trends
- Nest success influenced most heavily by presence of mammalian predators (at both stages)
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## Research Objectives

1. Evaluate the factors influencing AMOY nest success across a mosaic of habitats
2. Determine the extent of use of alternative breeding habitat by AMOYs in New Jersey
3. Identify the factors affecting AMOY distribution in a highly urbanized coastal ecosystem

- Coastal development
- Recreational disturbance


## Species Distribution Modeling

- Niche Modeling
- Explanatory Model
- What factors lead to observed distribution of AMOYs in NJ?
- Predictive Model
- Where else are AMOYs in NJ?



## Niche Modeling
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## MAXENT Model - Methods

## Maximum Entropy Modeling

- Model distribution based on known occurrences
- Distribution limited by some constraints
- Habitat features
- Urbanization
- Prey Resources
- Predation



## MAXENT Model - Methods

## Maximum Entropy Modeling

- In the absence of influences other than those included as constraints in the model, the geographic distribution of a species will tend toward the distribution of maximum entropy (Phillips et al. 2006)
- Presence only modeling technique
- Robust to small sample sizes
- Better predictions than many other SDM techniques


## MAXENT Model - Methods

## Maximum Entropy Modeling

- Estimate a target probability distribution by finding the probability distribution of MAXIMUM ENTROPY subject to a set of constraints
- Entropy = measure of how much choice is involved in the selection of an event (Shannon 1948)
- Maximum Entropy Distribution = most spread out or closest to uniform
- 1st Law of Thermodynamics: In systems without outside influences, processes move in a direction that maximizes entropy


## MAXENT Model - Methods

## Maximum Entropy Modeling

- Estimate a target probability distribution by finding the probability distribution of maximum entropy subject to a set of CONSTRAINTS
- Constraints: The expected value of each feature should equal the average value of sample points
- Features = Habitat Variables = Model Parameters
- BACKGROUND DATA (random points)
- Pixels of study area make up the space on which the Maxent probability distribution is defined
- Sample Points = Occurrence Records
- TRAINING DATA (actual occurrences)


## MAXENT Model - Methods

## Model Parameters

- Abiotic
- Habitat classification
- Area of habitat features
- Distance from nearest inlet
- Distance from tidal water
- Biotic
- Prey availability measures
- Area of low marsh
- Area of tidal flats
- Anthropogenic
- Area of urbanization
- Distance from development



## MAXENT Model - Methods

## Model Training and Validation Data

- Training Data
- 67 occurrence records (breeding pairs)
- Subset of data to reduce spatial autocorrelation
- Test Data
- 25\% of training data set aside to test models
- Validation Data
- 283 randomly selected survey points
- Independent dataset



## MAXENT Model - Methods

## Model Validation

- Evaluated using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves
- Plots true positive rate against false positive rate
- Area under the curve (AUC) values used to evaluate models
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## MAXENT Model - Methods

## Model Validation

- Validated model with independent dataset
- Compared ROC curve for validation data with MAXENT model results
- Examined errors of omission and commission separately
- Omission = prediction of absence when actually present (false negatives)
- Commission = prediction of presence when actually absent (false positives)


## MAXENT Model - Results

## Predicted Distribution
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## MAXENT Probability of Occurrence

Value
High: 1

Low: 0

## MAXENT Model - Results

## Actual Distribution




## Breeding Pairs

 2007 Surveys- Barrier Island Beaches
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## Breeding Pairs 2007 Surveys

- Barrier Island Beaches
- Alternate Breeding Habitat
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## Predicted Distribution




MAXENT Probability
of Occurrence
Value
High: 1
Low: 0

## MAXENT Model - Results

## Predicted and Actual Distribution



| Habitat Type | No. Pairs | \% Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Barrier Beach | 60 | $19.4 \%$ |
| Saltmarsh | 213 | $69.0 \%$ |
| Inlet Islands | 10 | $3.2 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Dredge Islands | 26 | $8.4 \%$ |
|  | 309 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |

## MAXENT Model - Results

## Variable Contributions (Heuristic Estimate)

| Variable | \% Contribution |
| :--- | ---: |
| Distance from nearest tidal waters | $46.8 \%$ |
| Habitat classification | $33.3 \%$ |
| Distance from nearest inlet | $10.9 \%$ |
| Area of low marsh edge within 100 m radius | $3.6 \%$ |
| Area of tidal flats within 1 km radius | $1.7 \%$ |
| Area of urbanization within 100 m radius | $1.6 \%$ |
| Area of tidal flats within 100 m radius | $1.6 \%$ |
| Area of low marsh edge within 1 km radius | $0.4 \%$ |
| Area of urbanization within 1 km radius | $0.1 \%$ |
| Total Contribution | $100.0 \%$ |

## MAXENT Model - Results

## Variable Contributions (Jackknife Tests)





## MAXENT Model - Results

## Variable Response Curves



## MAXENT Model - Results

## Model Validation



## MAXENT Probability

 of OccurrenceValue
High: 1
Low: 0

## MAXENT Model - Results

## MAXENT ROC Curves

(Internal Validation)


## MAXENT Model - Results

## ROC Curves

(MAXENT vs. Independent Validation)


## MAXENT Model - Results



## MAXENT Model - Discussion

- Omission Error Rate
- High false negative rate
- There are a large number of AMOYs in habitat that is predicted to be unsuitable
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- Commission Error Rate
- High false positive rate
- There are many areas predicted to be suitable that do not have AMOYs
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- Commission Error Rate
- High false positive rate
- There are many areas predicted to be suitable that do not have AMOYs



## MAXENT Model - Discussion

- Why are so many AMOYs occurring in unsuitable habitat?



## MAXENT Model - Discussion

- Why are so many AMOYs occurring in unsuitable habitat?
- Modeling errors:
- Spatial autocorrelation of training data
- Excessive number of model parameters
- Data errors:
- Missing variables that influence distribution (e.g. wrack deposits)
- Misclassifications in GIS layers (e.g. small sand patches)
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- Source-sink dynamics
- Despotic distribution (Ens 1992)
- Severe lack of highly suitable habitat in New Jersey
- Human disturbance displacing oystercatchers from highly suitable habitat
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## Research Objectives

1. Evaluate the factors influencing AMOY nest success across a mosaic of habitats
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3. Identify the factors affecting AMOY distribution in a highly urbanized coastal ecosystem

- Coastal development
- Recreational disturbance


## CART Models - Methods

- Classification and regression tree (CART) models explain the variation of a single response variable by repeatedly splitting the data into more homogeneous groups based on multiple explanatory variables (De'Ath \& Fabricius 2000)
- Classification Models - Presence or Absence
- Regression Models - Density
- Compared with other techniques
- Random Forests
- MAXENT



## CART Models - Methods

- Grow an overlarge tree by splitting data into homogeneous groups
- Prune by 10 -fold cross validation process (run 50 times)
- Goal - find the most parsimonious tree that explains the most variance



## CART Models - Methods

- Training Data
- 68 presence and 68 absence records
- Data from 2007 surveys on barrier beaches
- AMOY abundance recorded at all survey points
- Explanatory Variables
- Habitat suitability (based on MAXENT model)
- Beach disturbance level (rank)
- Distance from nearest public beach access point
- Driving on beach permitted during March, April, May or June (separate variables)



## CART Models - Methods

## Random Forests Model

- Grow a forest of trees (500 trees)
- Randomization introduced into each tree
- Bootstrap training data
- Randomly select variables



## CART Models - Results

- Classification Tree
- Length of branches proportional to variance explained at each split
- Habitat less important variable than expected
- Most occurrences on less disturbed beaches distant from access points



## CART Models - Results

- Regression Tree
- Density highest in most highly suitable habitat
- However, most training points are in less suitable habitat (128 of 136)
- Density lowest on most highly disturbed beaches



## CART Models - Results

Comparison of Techniques:
Variable Contributions


## Conclusions

Most oystercatchers (~80\%) breed in alternative habitats in New Jersey
— Important factors influencing local distribution include: proximity to inlets and presence of sand substrate for nesting

Recreational activity influences local distribution, excluding AMOYs from highly suitable habitat

Saltmarsh and barrier beach habitats may be acting as sinks
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